

CHAPTER 6. MARKUPS FOR EQUIPMENT PRICE DETERMINATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.1	INTRODUCTION	1
6.1.1	Baseline Markups.....	2
6.1.2	Incremental Markups	3
6.1.3	Overall Markups	4
6.2	BASIC ASSUMPTIONS USED TO ESTIMATE WHOLESALER AND MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR MARKUPS	5
6.3	ESTIMATION OF WHOLESALER MARKUPS.....	6
6.4	ESTIMATION OF MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR MARKUPS.....	7
6.4.1	Estimation of Mechanical Contractor Markup Probability Distribution	8
6.5	SALES TAX.....	9
6.6	NATIONAL ACCOUNTS	11
6.7	OVERALL MARKUPS.....	12
6.8	RESULTS	13
	REFERENCES	14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.1.1	Distribution Channel Shares	2
Table 6.3.1	Wholesaler Expenses and Markups	6
Table 6.4.1	Mechanical Contractor Expenses and Markups.....	8
Table 6.5.1	State Sales Tax Rates	10
Table 6.5.2	National Average Weighted Sales Tax Rates, based on Estimated Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Shipments by State.....	10
Table 6.7.1	Baseline Markups by Distribution Channel and Overall Weighted Average Markup, Including the Weighted Average Sales Tax Multiplier for Supermarkets.....	12
Table 6.7.2	Incremental Markups by Distribution Channel and Overall Weighted Average Markup, Including the Weighted Average Sales Tax Multiplier for Supermarkets.....	12

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6.1.1	Distribution Channels and Shares for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment	1
Figure 6.4.1	Cumulative National Sales-Weighted Distribution of Mechanical Contractor Markups for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Sales to Supermarkets.....	9
Figure 6.5.1	Cumulative National Sales-Weighted Distribution of Sales Tax Rates for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Sales to Supermarkets	11

CHAPTER 6. MARKUPS FOR EQUIPMENT PRICE DETERMINATION

6.1 INTRODUCTION

To carry out the life-cycle cost (LCC) calculations described in Chapter 8, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) needed to determine the cost to the customer of baseline commercial refrigeration equipment (CRE). This cost was determined for both baseline equipment and more-efficient equipment the customer would purchase following the promulgation of new standards. However, the customer price of such equipment is not known since it depends on how the equipment is purchased. What is known is the manufacturer's selling price (MSP) for both baseline equipment and the more-efficient equipment as determined in the Engineering Analysis (Chapter 5). By applying a multiplier called a "markup" to the MSP, DOE was able to estimate the customer price of equipment purchased through various distribution channels. This chapter describes how DOE derived the markups.

The cost to the customer depends on how the customer purchases the equipment. DOE defined three distribution channels, as shown in Figure 6.1.1, to describe how the equipment passes from the manufacturer to the customer. In the first distribution channel, the manufacturer sells the equipment directly to the customer through a national account. In the second and third distribution channel, the manufacturer sells the equipment to a wholesaler, who in turn may sell it directly to the customer or through a mechanical contractor. The wholesaler in this case can be a refrigeration wholesaler focusing on refrigeration equipment, or a grocery warehouse (supply chain distributor) who sells food and store equipment to the retailer. Table 6.1.1 gives the distribution channel shares (in percentage of total sales) through each of the three distribution channels for remote-condensing and self-contained equipment. The distribution channel shares are based on estimates provided by Carrier Corporation to DOE during the public review of the Advanced Notice of Public Rulemaking (ANOPR) for commercial refrigeration equipment.




Figure 6.1.1 Distribution Channels and Shares for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment

Table 6.1.1 Distribution Channel Shares

Remote Condensing Equipment			
New and Replacement Construction	National Account	Distributor	Contractor
	70%	15%	15%
Self-Contained Equipment			
New and Replacement Construction	National Account	Distributor	Contractor
	30%	35%	35%

The following equation describes how DOE determined the equipment price if a customer purchases directly from the manufacturer through a national account:

$$EQP_{CUST} = MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{NATLACCT} \times (1 + ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.1}$$

where:

EQP_{CUST} = equipment price to the customer (\$),
 MFG_{BASE} = manufacturer selling price of baseline equipment (\$),
 $MU_{NATLACCT}$ = national account markup,
 ST = sales tax rate.

The following equation describes how DOE determined the equipment price if a wholesaler sells the equipment to the customer through the wholesaler distribution channel:

$$EQP_{CUST} = MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{WHOLE} \times (1 + ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.2}$$

where:

MU_{WHOLE} = wholesaler markup

The following equation describes how DOE determined the equipment price if a mechanical contractor sells the equipment to the customer through the wholesaler distribution channel:

$$EQP_{CUST} = MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{WHOLE} \times MU_{MECHCONT} \times (1 + ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.3}$$

where:

$MU_{MECHCONT}$ = residential and light commercial mechanical contractor markup

The markup multiplier to the equipment price is thus lessened for shorter distribution channels.

For each of the markups presented above, DOE further differentiated between a baseline markup and an incremental markup, as described below. The overall markup is then the product of all the markups plus sales tax within a distribution channel.

6.1.1 Baseline Markups

DOE defined baseline markups as cost multipliers that relate the purchase cost to selling price for each step of the distribution channel.

If sold to a customer by the manufacturer through a national account, the following equation defines the equipment price:

$$EQP_{NATLACCTBASE} = MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{NATLACCTBASE} \times (1+ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.4}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{NATLACCTBASE} &= \text{national account price to the customer of baseline equipment (\$),} \\ MU_{NATLACCTBASE} &= \text{national account markup on baseline equipment.} \end{aligned}$$

If sold to a customer by a wholesaler-distributor:

$$EQP_{WHOLEBASE} = MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{WHOLEBASE} \times (1+ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.5}$$

If sold to a customer by a mechanical contractor:

$$EQP_{MECHCONTBASE} = EQP_{WHOLEBASE} \times MU_{MECHCONTBASE} \quad \text{Eq. 6.6}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{WHOLEBASE} &= \text{wholesaler price of baseline equipment (\$),} \\ EQP_{MECHCONTBASE} &= \text{mechanical contractor price of baseline equipment (\$),} \\ MU_{WHOLEBASE} &= \text{wholesaler markup on baseline equipment,} \\ MU_{MECHCONTBASE} &= \text{mechanical contractor markup on baseline equipment.} \end{aligned}$$

The use of the markups results in the wholesaler ($EQP_{WHOLEBASE}$) and mechanical contractor ($EQP_{MECHCONTBASE}$) prices of baseline CRE equipment.

6.1.2 Incremental Markups

Incremental markups are cost multipliers that relate incremental changes in the manufacturer selling price of higher efficiency equipment that result, in this case, from efficiency improvements, to changes in the wholesaler or contractor sales price, as shown in the following equations:

If sold to a customer by the manufacturer through a national account, the following equation defines the increase in equipment price above that of baseline equipment:

$$EQP_{NATLACCTINCR} = MFG_{INCR} \times MU_{NATLACCTINCR} \times (1+ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.7}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{NATLACCTINCR} &= \text{incremental equipment price to the national account customer} \\ &\quad \text{(\$),} \\ MFG_{INCR} &= \text{change in the manufacturer selling price of higher efficiency} \\ &\quad \text{equipment brought about by an increase in efficiency due to new} \\ &\quad \text{standards (\$),} \\ MU_{NATLACCTINCR} &= \text{national account incremental markup.} \end{aligned}$$

If sold by a wholesaler directly to a customer or by a mechanical contractor after passing through a wholesaler:

$$EQP_{WHOLE INCR} = MFG_{INCR} \times MU_{WHOLE INCR} \times (1+ST) \quad \text{Eq. 6.8}$$

$$EQP_{MECH CONT INCR} = EQP_{WHOLE INCR} \times MU_{MECH CONT INCR} \quad \text{Eq. 6.9}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{WHOLE INCR} &= \text{incremental wholesaler price (\$),} \\ EQP_{MECH CONT INCR} &= \text{incremental mechanical contractor price (\$),} \\ MU_{WHOLE INCR} &= \text{incremental wholesaler markup,} \\ MU_{MECH CONT INCR} &= \text{incremental mechanical contractor markup.} \end{aligned}$$

In these equations, $MU_{NATL ACCT INCR}$, $MU_{WHOLE INCR}$ and $MU_{MECH CONT INCR}$ refer to the incremental national account, incremental wholesaler, and incremental mechanical contractor markups, respectively, which are applied to the incremental MSP. The use of the incremental markups results in the incremental wholesaler ($EQP_{WHOLE INCR}$) and incremental mechanical contractor ($EQP_{MECH CONT INCR}$) prices.

6.1.3 Overall Markups

Overall markups, including both overall baseline and overall incremental markups, relate the manufacturer selling price to the customer price as indicated by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{CUST BASE} &= MFG_{BASE} \times (WT_{NATL ACCT BASE} \times MU_{NATL ACCT BASE} + WT_{WHOLE BASE} \times MU_{WHOLE} \\ &+ WT_{MECH CONT BASE} \times MU_{WHOLE BASE} \times MU_{MECH CONT BASE}) \times (1+ST) \\ &= MFG_{BASE} \times MU_{OVERALL BASE} \end{aligned} \quad \text{Eq. 6.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} EQP_{CUST INCR} &= MFG_{INCR} \times (WT_{NATL ACCT INCR} \times MU_{NATL ACCT INCR} + WT_{WHOLE INCR} \times MU_{WHOLE} \\ &+ WT_{MECH CONT INCR} \times MU_{WHOLE INCR} \times MU_{MECH CONT INCR}) \times (1+ST) \\ &= MFG_{INCR} \times MU_{OVERALL INCR} \end{aligned} \quad \text{Eq. 6.11}$$

$$EQP_{CUST} = EQP_{CUST BASE} + EQP_{CUST INCR} \quad \text{Eq. 6.12}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} MU_{OVERALL BASE} &= \text{overall weighted baseline markup that is the product of all the} \\ &\text{weights and baseline markups for each step of the distribution} \\ &\text{channel,} \\ MU_{OVERALL INCR} &= \text{overall weighted incremental markup that is the product of all the} \\ &\text{weights and incremental markups for each step of the distribution} \\ &\text{channel,} \\ EQP_{CUST BASE} &= \text{baseline customer price (\$),} \\ EQP_{CUST INCR} &= \text{incremental customer price (\$),} \\ EQP_{CUST} &= \text{total customer price (\$),} \\ WT_{NATL ACCT BASE} &= \text{weight (percent) of baseline equipment sales through national} \\ &\text{accounts,} \\ WT_{WHOLE BASE} &= \text{weight (percent) of baseline equipment sales through wholesalers,} \end{aligned}$$

$WT_{MECH\ CONT\ BASE}$	= weight (percent) of baseline equipment sales through mechanical contractors,
$WT_{NATL\ ACCNT\ INCR}$	= weight (percent) of higher efficiency equipment sales through national accounts,
$WT_{WHOLE\ INCR}$	= weight (percent) of higher efficiency equipment sales through wholesalers,
$WT_{MECH\ CONT\ INCR}$	= weight (percent) of higher efficiency equipment sales through mechanical contractors.

The use of the overall baseline and overall incremental markups results in the baseline customer price ($EQP_{CUST\ BASE}$) and the incremental customer price ($EQP_{CUST\ INCR}$). For a particular higher efficiency level that increases manufacturer selling price of equipment, the total price of equipment to the customer (EQP_{CUST}) is the sum of the baseline customer price ($EQP_{CUST\ BASE}$) and the incremental customer price ($EQP_{CUST\ INCR}$).

6.2 BASIC ASSUMPTIONS USED TO ESTIMATE WHOLESALER AND MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR MARKUPS

DOE derived the wholesaler and mechanical contractor markups from three key assumptions about CRE costs. DOE based the wholesaler markups on industry balance-sheet data and based the mechanical contractor markups on U.S. Census Bureau data for the plumbing, heating, and air conditioning (PHAC) industry.¹ DOE obtained the industry balance sheet data from the Heating, Air conditioning & Refrigeration Distributors International (HARDI), the trade association representing wholesalers of refrigeration and heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment. DOE put the U.S. Census Bureau Plumbing, Heating, and Air-Conditioning Contractors data that was used for developing mechanical contractor markups into the same format as the balance sheet data for wholesalers. These balance sheets break out the components of all costs incurred by firms that supply and install PHAC equipment. The three key assumptions used to estimate markups using these financial data are given below.

1. The firm balance sheets accurately represent the various average costs incurred by firms distributing and installing CRE equipment.
2. The wholesaler and contractor costs can be divided into two categories: (1) costs that vary in proportion to the MSP of CRE equipment (variable costs), and (2) costs that do not vary with the manufacturer selling price of CRE equipment (fixed costs).
3. Wholesaler and contractor prices vary in proportion to wholesaler and contractor costs included in the balance sheets.

In support of the first assumption, the balance sheets itemize firm costs into a number of cost categories, including direct costs to purchase or install the equipment, operating labor and occupancy costs, and other operating costs and profit. Although wholesalers and contractors tend to handle multiple commodity lines (including air conditioners, furnaces, and boilers) the data provide the most accurate available indication of CRE equipment costs.

Previous DOE analysis of the HVAC wholesalers, contractors, and consultants, including information obtained from the trade literature, support the second assumption.^{2,3} This analysis indicates that wholesaler and contractor markups vary according to the quantity of labor and

materials used to distribute and install appliances, with markups on labor tending to be much larger than markups on materials. The analysis implies that these distribution channel markups vary more in relation to sales volume than in relation to other factors, including appliance efficiency. Distribution channel labor inputs also vary more with sales volume than with appliance cost or efficiency. DOE assumed a division of costs between those that do not scale with the manufacturer selling price (labor and occupancy costs), and those that do (operating costs and profit). This division of costs led to the estimate of wholesaler and mechanical contractor markups described below.

In support of the third assumption, the HVAC wholesaler and contractor industry is competitive and customer demand for commercial heating and air conditioning is relatively inelastic (i.e., the demand is not expected to decrease significantly with an increase in price of equipment). The large number of wholesaler firms listed in the 2002 Census indicates the competitive nature of the market. For example, there are almost 1400 wholesalers of refrigeration equipment, and 87,000 HVAC contractors.⁴ Following standard economic theory, competitive firms facing inelastic demand either set prices in line with costs or quickly go out of business.⁵

6.3 ESTIMATION OF WHOLESALER MARKUPS

Wholesalers report median data in a confidential survey that HARDI conducted of member firms.⁶ In the survey, HARDI itemizes revenues and costs into cost categories, including direct equipment expenses (cost of goods sold), labor expenses, occupancy expenses, other operating expenses, and profit. It reports data for various industry segments, including the Controls and Refrigeration industry segment in terms of industry median values. DOE presents these data for Controls and Refrigeration in terms of specific types of expense within these categories in Appendix C. Table 6.3.1 summarizes these expenses as cost per dollar sales revenue in the first data column. As shown in this column, the direct equipment expenses (cost of goods sold) represent about \$0.73 per dollar sales revenue. In other words, for every \$1 wholesalers take in as sales revenue, they use \$0.73 to pay the direct equipment costs. Labor expenses account for \$0.16 per dollar sales revenue, occupancy expenses account for \$0.03, other operating expenses account for \$0.05, and profit accounts for \$0.03 per dollar sales revenue.

Table 6.3.1 Wholesaler Expenses and Markups*

Description	Wholesale Firm Expenses or Revenue	
	Per Dollar Sales Revenue	Per Dollar Cost of Goods Sold
Direct Cost of Equipment Sales: Cost of goods sold	\$0.73	\$1.00
Labor Expenses: Salaries and benefits	\$0.16	\$0.214
Occupancy Expense: Rent, maintenance, and utilities	\$0.03	\$0.042
Other Operating Expenses: Depreciation, advertising, and insurance	\$0.05	\$0.071
Profit	\$0.03	\$0.042
Baseline Markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ BASE}$): Revenue per dollar cost of goods sold		1.369
Incremental Markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ INCR}$): Increased revenue per dollar increase cost of goods sold		1.113

* Source: Heating, Airconditioning & Refrigeration Distributors International. 2005. 2005 Profit Report (2004 Data).

The last column of Table 6.3.1 shows the data converted from costs per dollar revenue into revenue per dollar cost of goods sold. DOE did this conversion by dividing each cost category in the first data column of Table 6.3.1 by \$0.73 (i.e., equipment expenditure per dollar revenue). The data in the last column show that, for every \$1.00 the wholesaler spends on equipment costs, the wholesaler earns \$1.00 in sales revenue to cover the equipment cost, \$0.214 to cover labor costs, \$0.042 to cover occupancy expenses, \$0.071 for other operating expenses, and \$0.042 in profits. This totals to \$1.369 in sales revenue earned for every \$1.00 spent on equipment costs. Therefore, the wholesaler baseline markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ BASE}$) is 1.37 ($\$1.37 \div \1.00).

DOE also used the data in the last column to estimate the incremental markups. The incremental markup depends on which of the costs in Table 6.3.1 are variable and which are fixed. For example, for a \$1.00 increase in the manufacturer equipment price, if all of the other costs scale with the manufacturer selling price (i.e., all costs are variable), the increase in wholesaler price will be \$1.43, implying that the incremental markup is 1.43, or the same as the baseline markup. However, if none of the other costs are variable, then a \$1.00 increase in the manufacturer selling price will lead to a \$1.00 increase in the wholesaler price, for an incremental markup of 1.0. DOE assumes that the labor and occupancy costs will be fixed and that the other operating costs and profit will scale with the manufacturer selling price (i.e., be variable). In this case, for a \$1.00 increase in the manufacturer selling price, the wholesaler price will increase by \$1.11, giving a wholesaler incremental markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ INCR}$) of 1.11 ($\$1.11 \div \1.00).

DOE recognizes that there is a possibility of case-to-case deviation in the markups developed from the above financial data. Based on the analysis of U.S. Census Bureau data for wholesalers, DOE calculated a standard deviation of 4.2 percent. DOE assumed that the variation determined for the baseline markup applied to the incremental markup as well. For the baseline markup of 1.37, a standard deviation of 4.2 percent translates into an absolute value of 0.06 and for the incremental markup of 1.10, a standard deviation of 4.2 percent translates into an absolute value of 0.05. However, the national data on distribution of CRE units suggests that only about 14 percent of new units sold actually pass through wholesalers. The case-to-case deviation of wholesale markup thus has a very small impact on the overall cost of equipment and can be neglected. DOE applied the same baseline and incremental markup to all sales of CRE units passing through wholesalers. Further details of these data are shown in Appendix C.

6.4 ESTIMATION OF MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR MARKUPS

DOE derived markups for mechanical contractors from U.S. Census Bureau data for Plumbing, Heating, and Air-Conditioning Contractors (PHAC) (North American Industry Classification System 238220). This sector includes establishments primarily engaged in installing and servicing plumbing, heating, and air-conditioning equipment, which may include new work, additions, alterations, maintenance, and repairs. The U.S. Census Bureau data for the PHAC sector include detailed statistics for establishments with payrolls, similar to the data reported by HARDI for wholesalers. The primary difference is that the U.S. Census Bureau reports itemized revenues and expenses for the PHAC industry as a whole in total dollars rather than in typical values for an average or representative business. Because of this, DOE assumed that the total dollar values that the U.S. Census Bureau reported, once converted to a percentage

basis, represented revenues and expenses for an average or typical contracting business. As with the data for wholesalers, Table 6.4.1 summarizes the expenses for mechanical contractors, as expenses per dollar sales revenue, in the first data column (Appendix C contains the full set of data). The direct cost of sales represents about \$0.66 per dollar sales revenue to the mechanical contractor. Labor expenses account for \$0.17 per dollar sales revenue, occupancy expenses account for \$0.02 per dollar sales revenue, other operating expenses account for \$0.03, and profit makes up \$0.12 per dollar sales revenue.

DOE converted these expenses per dollar sales into revenue per dollar cost of goods sold, by dividing each figure in the first data column by \$0.66. The data in the last column show that, for every \$1.00 the mechanical contractor spends on equipment costs, the mechanical contractor earns \$1.00 in sales revenue to cover the equipment cost, \$0.26 to cover labor costs, \$0.03 to cover occupancy expenses, \$0.05 for other operating expenses, and \$0.18 in profits. This totals \$1.52 in sales revenue earned for every \$1.00 spent on equipment costs. This results in a mechanical contractor baseline markup ($MU_{MECH\ CONT\ BASE}$) of 1.52 ($\$1.52 \div \1.00).

Table 6.4.1 Mechanical Contractor Expenses and Markups*

Description	Mechanical Contractor Firm Expenses or Revenue	
	Per Dollar Sales Revenue	Per Dollar Cost of Goods Sold
Direct Cost of Equipment Sales: Cost of goods sold	\$0.66	\$1.00
Labor Expenses: Salaries (indirect) and benefits	\$0.17	\$0.26
Occupancy Expense: Rent, maintenance, and utilities	\$0.02	\$0.03
Other Operating Expenses: Depreciation, advertising, and insurance	\$0.03	\$0.05
Net Profit Before Taxes	\$0.12	\$0.18
Baseline Markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ BASE}$): Revenue per dollar cost of goods sold		1.52
Incremental Markup ($MU_{WHOLE\ INCR}$): Increased revenue per dollar increase cost of goods sold		1.23

* Source: U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. Plumbing, Heating, and Air-Conditioning Contractors: 2002. Report EC02-231-238220, Table 4. Detailed Statistics for Establishments: 2002.

DOE was also able to use the data in the last column in Table 6.4.1 to estimate the incremental markups by being able to separate the fixed and variable costs. For example, if all of the other costs scale with the equipment price (i.e., all costs are variable), the increase in mechanical contractor price will be \$1.52, implying that the incremental markup is 1.52, or the same as the baseline markup. However, if none of the other costs are variable, then a \$1.00 increase in the equipment price will lead to a \$1.00 increase in the mechanical contractor price, for an incremental markup of 1.0. DOE assumes the labor and occupancy costs to be fixed and the other operating costs and profit to scale with the equipment price (i.e., be variable). In this case, for a \$1.00 increase in the equipment price, the mechanical contractor price will increase by \$1.23, giving a mechanical contractor incremental markup ($MU_{MECH\ CONT\ INCR}$) of 1.23 ($\$1.23 \div \1.00).

6.4.1 Estimation of Mechanical Contractor Markup Probability Distribution

Mechanical contractor costs differ in various regions of the country for reasons including availability of labor, cost of living, union versus non-union workforce, and others. Because many mechanical contractor costs differ systematically by state, DOE characterized the markups developed from U.S. Census Bureau data with a probability distribution based on a state-by-state

analysis of U.S. Census Bureau data for PHAC contractors. The state-by-state analysis provided a distribution on the relative markups of mechanical contractors in the United States. DOE then applied the State-level index to the single-point baseline and incremental markups calculated above to create State-level markups. Because the state-by-state distribution of CRE units varies by type of customer (e.g., supermarkets may be more prevalent relative to convenience stores in one part of the country than another), a national-level distribution of the mechanical contractor markup index is different for each class of building.

Figure 6.4.1 shows the cumulative probability distribution of the State markup index that DOE used to characterize the mechanical contractor baseline and incremental markups for supermarkets.




Figure 6.4.1 Cumulative National Sales-Weighted Distribution of Mechanical Contractor Markups for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Sales to Supermarkets

6.5 SALES TAX

The sales tax represents State and local sales taxes that are applied to the customer price of CRE units. The sales tax is a multiplicative factor that increases the customer equipment price. DOE derived sales taxes representative of the combined State and local sales tax rates from the Sales Tax Clearinghouse,⁷ shown in Table 6.5.1. The State level combined tax rates can be applied to the estimated value of State level CRE equipment shipments to obtain the total purchase cost to the customer located in any state.

The distribution of sales tax rates ranges from a minimum of zero percent to a maximum of 9.4 percent with a mean value of 6.8 percent. DOE applied sales taxes to the customer equipment price irrespective of the distribution channel and the market in which the customer is located.

A weighted-average national level sales tax rate is calculated by multiplying the shares of state level shipments for each of the four sectors by the tax rates in Table 6.5.1. This provides a

weighted national average sales tax rate for the four sectors based estimated CRE equipment sales to each of the four sectors as shown in Table 6.5.2.

Table 6.5.1 State Sales Tax Rates

State	Combined State and Local Tax Rate	State	Combined State and Local Tax Rate	State	Combined State and Local Tax Rate
Alabama	8.10%	Kentucky	6.00%	North Dakota	5.70%
Alaska	1.40%	Louisiana	8.70%	Ohio	6.80%
Arizona	7.15%	Maine	5.00%	Oklahoma	8.05%
Arkansas	8.20%	Maryland	6.00%	Oregon	0.00%
California	8.00%	Massachusetts	5.00%	Pennsylvania	6.25%
Colorado	6.35%	Michigan	6.00%	Rhode Island	7.00%
Connecticut	6.00%	Minnesota	6.85%	South Carolina	6.90%
Delaware	0.00%	Mississippi	7.00%	South Dakota	5.50%
Dist. of Columbia	5.75%	Missouri	7.05%	Tennessee	9.40%
Florida	6.70%	Montana	0.00%	Texas	8.00%
Georgia	6.95%	Nebraska	6.00%	Utah	6.60%
Hawaii	4.35%	Nevada	7.50%	Vermont	6.05%
Idaho	6.05%	New Hampshire	0.00%	Virginia	5.00%
Illinois	8.40%	New Jersey	7.00%	Washington	8.50%
Indiana	7.00%	New Mexico	6.35%	West Virginia	6.00%
Iowa	6.75%	New York	8.25%	Wisconsin	5.40%
Kansas	6.90%	North Carolina	6.80%	Wyoming	5.35%

Table 6.5.2 National Average Weighted Sales Tax Rates, based on Estimated Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Shipments by State

	Supermarkets and Grocery Stores (44511)	Convenience and Specialty Stores (44512, 4452, and 4453)	Gasoline Stations with Convenience Stores (44711)	Warehouse Clubs and Supercenters (45291)
Weighted Sales Tax Rate by Retail Sector	6.92%	6.84%	6.94%	6.85%
Weighted Sales Tax Rate all Retail Sectors	6.92%			

Because the state-by-state distribution of CRE units varies by type of customer (e.g., supermarkets may be more prevalent relative to convenience stores in one part of the country than another), a national-level distribution of the sales tax markups is different for each class of building.

Figure 6.5.1 shows the cumulative probability distribution of the state tax rates that DOE used to characterize sales tax markups for supermarkets.




Figure 6.5.1 Cumulative National Sales-Weighted Distribution of Sales Tax Rates for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment Sales to Supermarkets

6.6 NATIONAL ACCOUNTS

Equipment purchased through national accounts constitutes about 70 percent of the usual distribution of CRE equipment to customers. Large customers of CRE equipment use national accounts to circumvent the typical distribution channel, thereby allowing them to negotiate significantly lower equipment prices directly with the manufacturer. The manufacturer in turn must cover additional expenses related to the distribution (as opposed to the manufacture) of the equipment, but gains in terms of negotiating agreed upon sales volumes with the customer.

To capture the price savings realized from equipment purchased through national accounts, DOE derived a national account markup, assuming that the resulting equipment price increase was one half of that realized from a distribution through the wholesaler channel. In other words, if the price increase resulting from the wholesaler markups is \$100, the national account markup is such that the price increase is one-half of that, or \$50. DOE based the use of a national account markup that is one-half of that realized from the wholesaler distribution channel on the assumption that the resulting national account equipment price must fall somewhere between the manufacturer selling price (i.e., a markup of 1.0) and the customer price under the wholesaler distribution channel. Because DOE did not know typical values for the actual national account equipment price, it chose a value of one-half.

To illustrate the development of the national account markup further, this section provides the following example of how DOE derived it. For example, using a baseline manufacturer selling price of \$1,000 for a CRE unit delivered to a supermarket and baseline wholesaler markups of 1.370, the resulting baseline customer equipment price for sales through a wholesaler (without sales taxes) is \$1,370 ($\$1,000 \times 1.370$). The dollar value increase due to the above distribution channel markups is \$370 ($\$1,370 - \$1,000$). Under the assumption that national account customers realize equipment price increases equal to one-half of that through the wholesale distribution channel, the dollar value of the equipment price increase under the national account is \$185 (one-half of \$370). The resulting equipment price is \$1,185

(\$185 + \$1,000), which results in a deduced national account baseline markup of approximately 1.185 ($\$1,185 \div \$1,000$). A similar calculation results in a deduced national account incremental markup of 1.057 ($\$1,057 \div \$1,000$).

6.7 OVERALL MARKUPS

DOE multiplied the wholesaler, mechanical contractor, and national account markups described above by the weighted average sales tax multiplier to obtain the overall baseline and incremental markups shown in Table 6.7.1 and Table 6.7.2 DOE based overall markups on one of three distribution channels according to how the equipment is purchased: through a national account; a wholesaler; or a wholesaler and a mechanical contractor, and the State into which the CRE unit is delivered. Table 6.7.1 presents three overall markups for the overall baseline markup for both remote-condensing and self-contained equipment, and Table 6.7.2 presents the same information for overall incremental markups for supermarkets, as an example.

Table 6.7.1 Baseline Markups by Distribution Channel and Overall Weighted Average Markup, Including the Weighted Average Sales Tax Multiplier for Supermarkets

	Wholesaler	Mechanical Contractor (Includes Wholesaler)	National Account (Mfg Direct)	Overall Weighted Average Markup	
				Remote-Condensing Equipment	Self-Contained Equipment
Distributor(s) Markup	1.370	2.082	1.185	1.347	1.564
Sales Tax Multiplier	1.068	1.068	1.068	1.068	1.068
Overall Markup	1.465	2.226	1.267	1.440	1.672

Table 6.7.2 Incremental Markups by Distribution Channel and Overall Weighted Average Markup, Including the Weighted Average Sales Tax Multiplier for Supermarkets

	Wholesaler	Mechanical Contractor (Includes Wholesaler)	National Account (Mfg Direct)	Overall Weighted Average Markup	
				Remote-Condensing Equipment	Self-Contained Equipment
Distributor(s) Markup	1.114	1.370	1.057	1.112	1.186
Sales Tax Multiplier	1.068	1.068	1.068	1.068	1.068
Overall Markup	1.191	1.465	1.130	1.189	1.268

DOE used the overall markups to estimate the customer price of baseline equipment, given the manufacturer cost of baseline equipment. For example, if the manufacturer selling price of a baseline remote-condensing CRE unit is \$1,000, DOE can multiply this by the

weighted-average overall baseline markup to estimate that the baseline customer price of the CRE unit sold is \$1,440. Similarly, DOE used the overall incremental markup to estimate changes in the customer price, given changes in the manufacturer selling price above the baseline due to increases in equipment efficiency. For example, if a new standard for remote-condensing equipment increases the CRE manufacturer selling price by \$100, DOE can multiply this price (\$100) by the weighted-average overall incremental markup (1.189) to estimate a customer price increase of \$119.

6.8 RESULTS

The overall baseline and overall incremental markups are presented in section 6.7. These markups will be used with the MSPs to generate customer prices for commercial refrigeration equipment. Supporting data for the calculation of baseline and incremental markups are provided in Appendix C.

REFERENCES

- ¹ U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. Plumbing, Heating and Air-Conditioning Contractors (PHAC): 2002. Report EC-02-231-238220.
- ² Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) 2004. An Analysis of Price Determination and Markups in the Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment Industry. LBNL-52791.
- ³ Mark Metoyer as reported in Mark Skaer, "What should you charge? Try These Tested Formulas," Air Conditioning, Heating and Refrigerator News, March 26, 2001.
- ⁴ U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. Electrical, Hardware, Plumbing, and Heating Equipment and Supplies: 2002. Report EC-02-421-17.
- ⁵ Pindyck, R. and D. Rubinfeld. 2000. Microeconomics, Prentice-Hall.
- ⁶ Heating, Air Conditioning & Refrigeration Distributors International (HARDI). 2008. 2008 Profit Report (2007 Data).
- ⁷ The Sales Tax Clearinghouse. Accessed <https://thestc.com/STRates.stm>.