



New York Canyon Simulation

May 19, 2010

Principal Investigator
Bernard Raemy,
Terra-Gen Power, LLC
Engineered Geothermal Systems Demonstration
Projects

This presentation does not contain any proprietary confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

Overview



- Timeline
 - Project start date: October 1, 2009
 - Project end date: January 1, 2013
 - Percent completed as May 19, 2010: 3%
- Budget
 - Total project funding: \$15,658,693
 - DOE share: \$8,940,000
 - **2009 \$221,255**
 - 2010 \$1,340,156
 - 2011 \$6,045,565
 - 2012 \$1,333,024
 - Awardee share: \$6,718,693
- Program Objectives Supported by this Funding:
 - Validation of Innovative Exploration Technologies
 - Confirmation of geothermal energy capacity
 - Validation of new exploration methods
- Lead: TGP Development Company ("TGP")
- Partners: Array Information Technology, Inc., GeothermEx, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Relevance/Impact of Research



Project Objectives:

- To update the geologic model of New York Canyon with the assistance of state-ofthe-art geophysical logs in new full-diameter wells and sub-surface microseismic monitoring in new slim holes to be drilled in a ring around the EGS stimulation area;
- To create an exploitable geothermal reservoir through fracturing induced by long-term injection at moderate wellhead pressures;
- To establish a commercially viable injector-producer doublet, in which the production well is targeted with the assistance of high-resolution microseismic data; and
- To generate commercial amounts of electricity from the project.

Relevance/Impact of Research (cont.)



Project Advantages

- High temperature gradients at shallow depths;
- Reported steam production near the center of TGPDC's lease position;
- A location on the opposite side of the Stillwater Range from Dixie Valley, suggesting a
 possible common heat source underneath the Stillwater Range, with potential for
 expanded geothermal development in the future; and
- A large lease position in a contiguous block along the northwestern Stillwater Range front, in an area where TGP plans to drill several full-diameter exploration wells, which could complement the EGS stimulation effort with logistical support.

Scientific/Technical Approach



Milestones and Go/No Go Decisions

- Phase I Pre-Stimulation
- Objective 1.1 Site/Wellbore Readiness
- <u>Go/No-Go Decision</u>: Well EGS-I-1 encounters sufficient temperature and permeability to support commercial production without an EGS stimulation
- Objective 1.2 Development of Stimulation Plan
- Objective 1.3 Planning and Permitting
- <u>Go/No-Go Decision</u>: The project may be suspended or re-structured if Phase I results indicate that the NYC site is not suitable for EGS.
- Phase II Reservoir Creation and Characterization
- Objective 2.1 Stimulation
- <u>Go/No-Go Decision</u>: If there is insufficient evidence that a viable EGS reservoir has been created, the drilling of EGS-P-1 may be postponed or cancelled.

Scientific/Technical Approach (cont.)



Milestones and Go/No Go Decisions (continued)

Phase II – Reservoir Creation and Characterization (continued)

- Objective 2.2 Post-stimulation Data Collection
- <u>Go/No-Go Decision</u>: If there has been insufficient success through the stimulation phase, the long-term follow-up testing of the doublet may be modified or cancelled.

Phase III - Validation and Power Generation

- Objective 3.1 Long-term Data Collection and Monitoring
- <u>Go/No-Go Decision</u>: If produced fluids cannot be used economically, construction of new surface facilities for electrical generation may be cancelled, and testing of the EGS reservoir may be terminated.

Reporting and Publications throughout all phases

Accomplishments, Expected Outcomes and Progress



Progress to date:

- Pre- award received February 2010
- Award expected mid May 2010
- Permitting activities for drilling of injector and producer well near completion
- Other permitting activities in progress

Near-Term Activities

- Drilling of first well (injector) expected Q3 2010
- Seismic Array (surface) expected Q3 2010
- Seismic monitoring (sub-surface) Q4 2010

Team Qualifications

• TGP leader in geothermal: COSO, Dixie Valley and Beowawe. Industry leaders on Advisory Panel: Array Technologies, GeothermEx and LBNL

Project Management/Coordination



- TGP operates three major geothermal projects: COSO in California and Dixie Valley and Beowawe in Nevada
- Project Consultants and Advisors (Advisory Panel)
 - Array Information technology
 - GeothermEx
 - Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory (Technical Advisor)
- Project Manager: Dennis Kaspereit, TGPNYC
- TGP non-proprietary information to NGDS: short- and long-term flow test reports;
 summary of all Phases of the cost-shared project
- Economic viability to be assessed based on project capital and operating costs

Phase	DOE	TGP	Total Cost
I. Pre-Stimulation	\$1,752,020	\$4,162,861	\$5,887,881
II. Reservoir Creation & Charac.	\$7,827,795	\$986,379	\$8,814,174
III. Validation, Power Generation	\$914,778	\$41,960	\$956,738
	\$10,467,593	\$5,191,200	\$15,658,793

Future Directions



Key Milestones FY10 and FY11

- Drilling of first well (injector) expected Q3 2010
- Seismic Array (surface) expected Q3 2010
- Seismic monitoring (sub-surface) Q4 2010
- Drilling of second well (producer) to be defined, but as early as Q1 2011

Expected outcome

- Long-term injection at moderate wellhead pressures for strategic fracturing supporting doublet production
- Effective EGS "tool" supporting future commercial geothermal production

Summary



- Project support's DOE GTP's objective of producing energy from geothermal resources deficient in water and/or permeability
- TGP and its team members offer experience, expertise and resources to investigate, and validate the proposed demonstration
- The New York Site offers demonstration and expansion potential

