

Cleaning Up Diesel Engines

Catherine Witherspoon California Air Resources Board

2005 DEER Conference

Overview

- Why it matters
- California's strategy
- A bit about light-duty diesels
- Other greenhouse gas strategies
- Future prospects

Diesel Health Effects

 Diesel NOx, ROG contribute to ozone and particulate matter formation

 Diesel PM identified as toxic air contaminant in 1998

Significant Health Impacts Attributed to Diesel PM



-2,900 premature deaths -2,500 cases bronchitis -600,000 lost work days -3.2 million minor restricted activity days -540/million cancer risk

Diesel Risk Reduction Plan

Adopted 2000

- -75 percent risk reduction by 2010
- 85 percent risk reduction by 2020

Multiple Strategies:

- Cleaner fuel
- Stringent new engine standards
- Ensure in-use performance
- Retrofit / retire existing engines

California Reduction Targets



NOx Emissions Trend



California Emissions From the 2005 Almanac

PM_{2.5} Emissions Trend



California Emissions From the 2005 Almanac

Rules Adopted to Date

- Transit buses
- Trash trucks
- TRUs "reefers"
- Portable engines
- Bus/truck idling (2)
- Loco/harbor craft fuel
- Stationary engines

Upcoming Regulations

Late 2005

- Public & utility on-road fleets
- Cargo handling equipment
- Ship auxiliary engine fuel







Upcoming Regulations

• 2006/07

- On-road private fleets
- Off-road public/private fleets
- Harbor craft
- Stationary agricultural engines
- Agricultural off-road engines











Voluntary Agreements

Railroads

- MOU for Los Angeles (1998)
 - By 2010, meet EPA 2005 stds in LA
 - Retrofit existing engines to Tier 0

- MOU for Statewide Railyards (2005)

- Idle shutoff devices installed
- Smoke inspections and repair
- Use low sulfur diesel when refueling in CA
- Risk assessments, local mitigation

Agreements, continued

Marine Vessels

- Voluntary speed reduction within 5 miles of California coastline
- Cuts NOx 5%
- Additional measures under discussion

Regulatory Detail

Fleet Rule for Transit Agencies

- Stringent New "Urban Bus" Standard
- Fleet Requirements
 - Fuel Path Choice
 - Fleet NOx Average
 - Fleet PM Reductions
 - 85% of 2002 baseline
 - by 2007 (diesel) or 2009 (alt-fuel)

Zero-emission Bus Demos



Refuse Vehicle Rule

- Cuts 2.2 tons PM, 15.3 tons NOx+HC
- Costs \$1/household per year
- Phased in over 6 years
- Requires BACT
 - Diesel at 2007 PM standard or
 - Current standard w/retrofit
 - Alternative-fuel
 - Pilot ignition engine



Municipal or Utility Owned Truck Rule (proposed)

- Requires BACT:
 - repower to 2007 PM standard
 - retrofit with highest level verified DECS
 - alternative-fuel
 - heavy-duty pilot ignition engine
- Staged compliance through 2013

Off-Road Equipment (Proposed)

- Largest source of mobile PM
- Construction, mining and industrial equipment
- BACT concept
 - -Tier 4 PM standard or
 - Current PM standard w/
 - -Alternative-fuel engine
 - Overall fleet reduction in PM and NOx



On-Road Trucks (Proposed)

- Largest source of on-road PM
- Medium thru heavy-heavy
- May require DECS





Encourage shift to alt fuels Accelerated 2007 turnover Capture NOx reductions

Clean Diesel Fuel



• June 1, 2006

- 15 ppm Sulfur
- Low aromatics
- On- and off-road sources

January 1, 2007 Applies to intrastate locomotives and harbor craft

The Economics

Impact of Adopted Programs

Fleet	Affected Population	Cost (million \$)	% of all Diesels	
Urban buses	10,300	103		
Other Transit	4,050	18.7	20/	
Refuse trucks	11,900	155	∠ ∕0	
School buses	3,500	76		
TRUs	38,500	87-158	3%	
Stationary	21,000	47		
Portable	33,000	350-420	5%	
Other	3,600	39		
TOTALS	127,350	\$ 959-1029	10%	

Incentive Programs

- Carl Moyer Program (\$140M/year)
- School Bus Program (\$25M)
- Light-Duty Scrappage (\$5-10M/year)
- West Coast Diesel Collaborative
- Pending Federal funds (\$200M/year)

Benefit to Cost Ratios

- California SIP: \$3 to \$1
- Clean Air Act: \$4 to \$1
- Carl Moyer program: \$10 to \$1
- ARB diesel retrofit rules: \$10-20 to \$1
- US EPA new diesel rules: \$24 to \$1

A Bit About Light Duty Diesels

Light-Duty Diesels

- Significant technological progress
- 50-80% NOx reductions over a wide range of operating conditions
- Toyota Avensis D-CAT available in Europe
- Challenges are emissions durability, desulfurization and US06 standard

Diesel vs. Gasoline

Benefits

- More efficient
- More durable
- Higher torque
- Less evaporative and tailpipe ROG
- Less GHG emissions

Drawbacks

- Higher purchase price
- Higher NOx and PM emissions
- Emits air toxics
- Consumer acceptance

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

- 22% reduction by 2012
- 30% reduction by 2016
- Features of rule
 - All GHG emissions from vehicle
 - CO₂-equivalent per global warming potential
 - Fleet average standard
 - Preserves model choice

Relative Compliance Costs

	Technology	CO ₂	Price	Payback
		reduction	increase	in years
Ierm	Gasoline ¹ (GDI-S, camless valve)	24%	\$742	3
	Diesel ¹ (HSDI)	24%	\$1141	3
-lelll	Diesel ¹ (HCCI)	18%	\$469	2
Long	Gasoline HEV (Prius-like)	48%	\$3186	7

¹ Includes automated manual transmission, electric power steering, improved. alternator

Other Diesel Related Greenhouse Gas Strategies

Biodiesel Fuel

- New California targets for GHG reductions
- Action plan due January 2006
- Initial strategies include looking at requiring 1-4% biodiesel content in statewide diesel fuel

Conclusion

- On track to meet 85% reduction goal
- Economics biggest challenge
- Offroad engines, nat'l & internat'l sources need attention
- Light-duty diesel likely future compliance strategy
- Diesel fuel also in play