


Revolving Loan Funds "Basics and Best Practices"



TAP Webinar

Samuel Booth

8/26/09

Overview

Purpose:

 To inform state and local officials about revolving loan funds and how to set one up

Agenda:

- Summary
- Existing Programs
- RLF Structure
- Loan Process
- ARRA Opportunity
- How to Setup an RLF
- Best Practices
- Risk Management
- Results





Summary

 A revolving loan fund (RLF) is a source of money from which loans are made. As loans are repaid, additional loans are made

Benefits

- Helps encourage investment in efficiency and renewable energy
 - > Information and technical assistance reduces transaction costs
 - Provides access to capital
 - > Typically results in reduced borrowing costs
- Helps creates jobs
- Reduces energy consumption and provides environmental benefits
- Can leverage existing capabilities of energy programs

Considerations

- Other programs could have higher impact: \$ / BTU
- Only one of many sources of capital
- Prudent risk management needed to ensure longevity of fund

Conclusion: RLF's are a good use of ARRA capital inflow

- Not subject to ARRA fund expiration
- Limited program administration and staffing requirements compared to other uses of funds

Existing Programs

- There are a large number of existing energy loan programs for both EE and RE
- For energy efficiency (EE)
 - 29 states have state level programs
 - 34 states have utility operated programs
 - 5 states have municipal programs
 - Some have hybrid programs that combine public and private sector

Program Types

- Interest rate buy down
- Grants
- Loans
- Revolving loan funds

Funding Sources

- Legislation
- Bonds
- Violation funds
- Multi tier

Loan Types

- Efficiency
- Renewables
- Combination
- Vehicle


Loan Recipients

- Residential
- Government
- Schools
- Commercial
- Industry


Existing programs vary substantially

Source: DSIRE Web Database (http://www.dsireusa.org/summarytables/finee.cfm)

RLF Basic Structure



Loan Process Overview



The process should be customized for each program

The ARRA Opportunity

RLF's are an accepted and encouraged use of ARRA funding

\$3.4 B for SEP

\$3.5 B EECGB

\$5.0 B for WIP



RLF funds not subject to expiration after the current three year ARRA timeframe

Must be lent out in 3 year period

Repayment over additional years



Money recaptured through loan payments must be used for the same purpose

Unless approved by DOE

Eligibility and procurement must meet program requirements



RFL's Extend the Impact of ARRA Funds

Starting an RLF: Begin With The Basics

Review existing programs in your state

- Energy loan programs and other RLF's like EPA programs
- Look to leverage expertise and knowledge

Determine a clear purposes and goal for your RLF

- e.g. To increase small business energy efficiency investment
- e.g. Annual savings of \$200,000 and 2 M kWh

Determine the allowed / prohibited uses of funds

- e.g. Allowed: Building energy efficiency investments
- e.g. Prohibited: Costs of obtaining financing

Determine Requirements



Borrowers

- Eligibility
- Reporting
- Insurance or collateral
- Repayment



Loan terms.

- Maximum length
- Max and min loan amounts
- % of project funding that loan can be used for
- Administrative fees
- Interest rates



Program Forms

- Loan application
- Loan disbursement
- Reporting
- M&V

Finalize Program Details

Staffing considerations

- Who will be responsible for the program
- Administrative duties, staffing requirements, and skill sets needed
- Setup a committee to review loan applications
- Leverage existing expertise from other agencies or the private sector

Define matrix for selecting projects

- e.g. ranking by payback or energy savings
- States are encouraged to setup programs that save at least 10 million BTU per \$1000 spent





Program Operation

- Capitalize with funds
- Market and promote the RLF
- Provide loans and technical assistance to borrowers
- Track and monitor existing loans
- Track and monitor progress towards program goals
- Offer assistance to borrowers
- Communicate success of program





Standardization versus Customization

 National harmonization of terms, approval procedures, M&V, etc, between programs could allow for packaging of loans

Potential Positives:

- Allows for assets tiers and simplified loan tracking
- Increased impact of programs through leveraging
- Increased efficiency and renewable energy investment
- Reduced transaction costs

Potential Negatives:

- Could stifle innovation
- Reduced ability to customize program
- Reduced potential program flexibility for borrowers
- Risk and return are difficult to standardize for efficiency

Risk Management

- Insure that loans are properly secured or guaranteed
 - OMB A-87 states that losses constitute an unallowable cost
 - Losses must be covered by non-federal funds
 - Recommend: Loan guarantee component in each RLF
- Familiarity with borrowers and technical assistance helps to prevent delinquencies
- In energy efficiency proper characterization of the improvements to be made to save energy is crucial
 - Due diligence is essential to verify engineering estimates
 - Monitoring and verification is important to dispute resolution
- Fees and rates must be set properly to prevent erosion of capital base

Proper risk management is a key driver of program success

Best Practices

- Customize program to the needs of target audience
- Start with a <u>user-friendly approach</u> plus simple policies and procedures
 - Will be a great help to program marketing and subscription
- Clearly define program goals and mission
- Provide good technical assistance to borrowers
- Invest in information technology and staff capacity
- Make borrowers aware of other financing sources and risks
- Inform borrowers of other energy programs that may be of interest and leverage overlapping capabilities

A well designed program will help people save time, money, and energy

Results

- ~ \$1 B in loans made by SEP of Oregon, Texas, and Nebraska combined to date
- Average for these programs across all sectors is ~ 15 million source BTUs per \$1000 dollar loaned¹
- Average simple pack back ~ 8.7 yrs
- Specific results vary due to the heterogeneity of energy investments, energy prices, and incentive programs
 - HVAC, commissioning, lighting, solar, wind, etc



Long track record of success in energy loan programs across sectors and locations



Thank You



Sam Booth

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

http://www.nrel.gov

1617 Cole Blvd.

Golden, CO 80401-3393

Frequently Asked Questions

- Q: What will the impact of my program be?
- A: It depends on program size, target audience, loan terms, etc.
- Q: What should I do to safeguard funds in the event of default?
- A: Have proper collateral and loan guarantees.
- Q: Is energy efficiency a good investment?
- A: Yes paybacks are short and returns are high.

Average Payback Calculation

- Average simple pack back ~ 8.7 yrs
- Estimated to communicate results. Calculation details below
 - Average for these programs across all sectors is ~ 15 million source BTUs per \$1000 dollar loaned¹
 - 3413 BTU per kWh
 - Average nationwide commercial electricity price 1998-2008 = \$0.0837 kWh²
 - 3413 BTU per kWh x \$.0837 x 1e6 = \$24.52 per MBTU of site electricity
 - Site to source ratio for electric BTU's is 3.34³
 - =(1/3.34)*\$24.52 = \$7.21 per source MBTU electricity
 - For natural gas assume 1 site BTU = 1.05 source BTU³
 - Average nationwide commercial natural gas price 1998-2008 = \$8.83²
 - Assume source BTU's are 66% electricity and 33% natural gas
 - Average price per source MBTU = \$7.70
 - .015 source MBTU per \$1 invested * \$7.70 spent per source MBTU = \$.115
 \$ saved annually / per \$ spent
 - = 8.66 year pack back

Source: 1. ORNL Evaluation of State Energy Programs in 2002 2. Energy Information Administration 3. EPA Energy Star Performance Ratings

Acronym Glossary

ARRA = American Reinvestment and Recovery Act

BTU = British thermal unit

EE = Energy efficiency

EECGB = Energy efficiency conservation block grant

OMB = Office of Management and Budget

RE = Renewable energy

RLF = Revolving loan fund

SEP = State Energy Program

WIP = Weatherization and Intergovernmental Program