**Overview**

Aspen, Colorado has one of the most expensive real estate markets in the United States. The mountains around Aspen provide beautiful views, skiing, and small-town seclusion, but they also limit the space available for the city to grow. In 2000, the Aspen Area Community Plan called for affordable housing projects based on the goal of housing at least 60% of the city employees within the city limits. The Burlingame Ranch project is one major step towards achieving this goal.

In 2004 an architectural design competition was held to select a design/build team, and the Aspen city council selected the development team consisting of Shaw Construction, Bill Poss Architects, and DHM Design. This team’s design features a “ranch vernacular” style of architecture, and provides 236 units of condominium-style housing in approximately 30 buildings and 265,000 square feet of living area. Additional single-family home sites were sold to individuals. The development features a bus route to downtown (with stops less than ½ mile away), pedestrian and bike trails, and a commons building.

**Project Profile**

**Project Team:**
Shaw Construction, Bill Poss Architects, DHM Design, City of Aspen, Building Science Corporation

**Location:**
Aspen, Colorado

**Description:**
180 affordable housing units in 38 multi-family buildings

**Completion Date:**
December 2007

**Estimated Annual Energy Savings:**
43% savings over the Building America benchmark; $1,350 estimated average annual savings per unit
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**Builder Profile**

Founded in 1962, Shaw Construction provides professional construction services throughout the State of Colorado and in Jackson Hole, Wyoming. Shaw is consistently ranked as one of Colorado’s leading General Contractors, Construction Management and Design/Build firms.

**Participating Programs & Certifications**

- U.S. Department of Energy’s Building America Program
- U.S. Green Building Council LEED® for Homes
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ENERGY STAR® Program

where a small market is available to eliminate the need for last-minute trips to the grocery store.

**Energy Analysis**

The Poss Architects proposal for the Burlingame project included ten different multifamily building designs. Each design has multiple minor variations from building to building. Building type F(1) was selected as a representative example of the building designs. Although the building designs are different, all fall into the same range of energy savings, since they have similar sizes and shapes and are built with the same attributes.

**Description of Building F(1)**

Building type F(1) includes four units: one 1-bedroom unit, one 2-bedroom unit, and two 3-bedroom units. The building includes about 5300 square feet of living space. Overall, the annual source energy savings was estimated to be between 43 and 51%, which corresponds to an annual energy cost savings of about $1350 per dwelling unit.

**Energy and Building Science Consulting**

In 2005 and 2006, BSC worked with the development team and the City of Aspen to design the energy efficiency measures, including high-density spray foam insulation; a tight envelope; 90+% furnaces; baseboard hot water radiators; efficient domestic hot-water systems including a solar hot water system; heat recovery ventilators; a fluorescent lighting package; and Energy Star appliances. In addition, BSC worked with the development team to review transition and correct details, finalize the solar hot water system design and the mechanical system design, review third-party energy code compliance reports, and provide support through the bulk of the construction phase.

In 2007, BSC continued to support the construction of Burlingame, and assisted in the short- and long-term monitoring by NREL of one of the buildings in the project. NREL and BSC personnel performed field testing on the F(1) building at Burlingame during the period of March 26-April 5, 2007, and BSC collaborated on a periodic basis with NREL to ensure that the NREL modeling and analysis reflected the systems actually installed in this project.

**Quality Assurance**

BSC performed the following quality assurance activities for the Burlingame project:

- Document review: wall assemblies, transition details, water management, central heating systems schematic, solar panel locations, specifications for appliances
- On-site testing of each unit: blower door test of each unity by third-party tester
- Intensive testing of mechanical, solar, and ventilation systems by NREL and BSC

**Current Status**

Phase I of Burlingame Ranch was substantially complete in December 2007. Phase II of Burlingame Ranch is currently in the beginning stages. The City of Aspen has asked several design firms to provide designs that provide an option for increased density for Phase II. The City will select a firm and a density option in spring 2009, and design should be substantially complete by fall 2009. BSC will be part of Phase II of Burlingame in the same capacity as it was in Phase I.

**Lessons Learned from Phase I**

- Problems with heating system sizing, complexity and inadequate commissioning
- As builder chose to implement it, project does not meet neutral cost target criteria
- For Phase II, BSC should provide increased support to the design team with respect to thermal bridges in foundations and ventilation, heating, hot water and solar design

**Technology Gaps & Barriers**

- Remaining technical barriers: improved efficiency HRV’s, user feedback for miscellaneous loads and thermal bridging due to structural requirements
- Remaining market barriers: lower-cost triple-pane windows, lower-cost solar systems, individual unit metering by utility for central systems
- Local electricity and gas prices are relatively low
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Building Enclosure

1. Roof: Unvented

Foundations: Foundations include slab-on-grade with perimeter insulation (shallow frost-protected design) and walk-out basements with polyisocyanurate insulation on the interior of the basement walls.

2. Framing: 2x6 @24" o.c. advanced framed walls

Air Sealing: Spray foam insulation and low expanding foam sealant around windows.

3. Roof Insulation: R-50

4. Wall Insulation: R-24

Foundation Wall Insulation: R-13; 2" thick polyisocyanurate on interior of basement walls

5. Slab Edge Insulation: R-14; approximately 2" thick high-density spray foam, shallow frost-protected design

Drainage Plane: Building paper behind horizontal or vertical sheathing

Radon Protection: Passive system installed

Window Specifications: Vinyl frame, double glazed LoE

Infiltration: 2.5 in² leakage area per 100 ft² envelope
Mechanical Design

1. Heating: Hydronic baseboard system with 90% AFUE central boiler
2. Cooling: None
3. Ventilation: Continuous heat recovery ventilator (HRV) system in each dwelling unit
4. Ducts: None
5. DHW: Central solar system with boiler back-up, 192 ft² solar panel with 250 gallon tank; back-up boiler estimated EF=0.66
6. Appliances: ENERGY STAR dishwasher, refrigerator, clothes washer and ceiling fans
7. Lighting: 90% Energy Star CFLs
8. Site Generated Power: 10 kW photovoltaic panel array (shared by all units in the community)

Water Management

- Landscape Plan: Plan minimizes water run-off from the site
- Plumbing Fixtures: Very high efficiency—faucets, showerheads and toilets

Paraetric Study

This case study has been prepared by Building Science Corporation for the Department of Energy’s Building America Program, a private/public partnership that develops energy solutions for new and existing homes. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

For more information about this or other case studies by Building Science Corporation and the Building America Program go to: www.buildingscienceconsulting.com/services/building-america.aspx.