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AGENDA

- PPA Basics
- PPA “How to” Guide
- Nellis Experience
- Ft. Carson Experience
Renewable Energy Projects

• Objective: Develop renewable generation
  – Reduce fossil fuel use & foreign oil dependence
  – Meet Federal renewable energy goals (EPAct, Executive Order 13423, etc)
  – Reduce and stabilize utility costs
  – Improve energy supply security & reliability
Renewable Energy Projects

- Key requirements
  - Renewable resource
  - Available land
  - Acceptable mission impact (radar, air space)
  - Environmental requirements
  - Utility prices and regulatory conditions
Renewable Energy Projects

- Key requirements (continued)
  - Local financial incentives
  - Tax incentives (Federal & State)
  - Local champion
  - Execution team
PPA Basics

• Definition: Power Purchase Agreement
  – Contract between generator and purchaser
  – For electricity or capacity (power or ancillary services)

• Used by generator to raise non-recourse financing
  – Lender is entitled to repayment from profits
PPA Basics

• Benefits of PPA vs Federal ownership
  – Tax incentives
  – Funds
  – Sale of RECs
  – Project operation and maintenance

• May require PUC approval
• May be performed by local utility company
PPA Basics

• Land use instruments
  – Ground lease
  – Enhanced Use Lease (EUL)
  – None
• Sale of electricity to Federal customer
• 40 USC 591 (previously § 8093)
  – State laws and rules apply
A ‘How To’ Guide for Power Purchase Agreements

Mr. Steve Dumont
Air Combat Command
The Steps

- Build a Team
- Evaluate Economic Viability
- Conduct Market Research
- Prepare Documents
- Issue a Solicitation
- Evaluate Proposals/Bids
- Make a Selection
Build a Team

• Small dedicated team provides:
  – Flexibility and quick reaction
  – Feeling of ownership / empowerment
• Include required core expertise:
  – Contracting, Technical, Legal, Economics, etc
• Establish direct, frequent communication
• Conduct meetings at major milestones
• Core team builds extended support team
Economic Viability

• Economic viability analysis
  – Analyze from both points of view (buyer & contractor)
  – Determines potential for win-win arrangement
  – Develop scenarios with range of assumptions – sensitivity analysis
  – Significant assumptions to include:
    - PPA power cost
    - Standby tariff costs
    - Tax incentives and rebates
    - Construction costs
    - REC cost/income
    - Cost of money
    - O&M costs
Market Research

• Know the market factors
  – Renewable portfolio requirements
  – Tax incentives
  – Rebates
  – Renewable resources
  – REC market
  – Other interest/solicitations
  – Standby tariff
  – Net metering
  – Seek interested parties (RFI)
Prepare Documents

- Environmental Impact (NEPA) – important!
- Technical Data Library
- Contract (Solicitation)
- Land Use Instrument
- Environmental Baseline Study
- Legal Survey
- Land Appraisal
- Interconnection and Standby Agreements

Basic PPA
Technical Library

• Data needed by potential offerors to develop an adequate technical proposal and bid.

• Contains
  – Site maps
  – Twelve months of electrical data (15 minutes internals)
  – Twelve months of billing records
  – Local/base standards
  – Electrical drawings
  – Substation and distribution system one-line drawings
  – Other data pertinent to the project
Solicitation

• Summarize performance requirements
  – Tell them what you want, not how to do it
  – Type of power (solar, wind, biomass), system output (kWh/kW), term (20yr)

• State experience requirements

• Establish proposal/bid format

• Explain evaluation and selection process
Selection Methodology

• Best Value
  – Subjective, protest may be more difficult to defend
  – More flexibility in selection
  – Not necessarily lowest cost

• Low Bid
  – Objective, higher risk of performance problems

• Technically Acceptable Low Bid
  – Good compromise, low risk of poor contractor, lower protest risk, best price
Selection Organization

- Separate organization and management chain of command
- Sole purpose is to accomplish proposal evaluations in accordance with RFP
- Consists of a source-selection authority, evaluation team, and advisors
- Establish firewall between technical and price evaluation
Evaluating Price

• Develop model to compute single value which reflects ‘total cost to buyer’

• Model should account for:
  - PPA power rate
  - Standby tariff costs
  - Length of agreement
  - REC replacement (if sold)
  - Cost of money (discount)
  - Escalation

• Low bid is lowest ‘total cost to buyer’
The Nellis PPA Experience
The Site

- 140 acres on Nellis AFB
- Desert land
- Among military facilities
- Includes 45 acre landfill
- Adjacent industrial area
- Bisected by railroad
The Solicitation

- Performance based – pay only for kWh delivered
- All power used by Nellis - direct connect to base grid
- Developer:
  - Designs, finances, builds, and operates the array
  - Sells power to Nellis at proposed price/escalation
- Nellis AFB:
  - Signs indefinite utility contract with developer
  - May cancel with one year notification
  - Provides land for PV array via a ground lease
The Selection

• Technically acceptable low bid
  – Retains competitive leverage for pricing
  – Low risk of poor contractor
  – Low protest risk
The Evaluation

• Technical
  – Performance Plan
  – Financial Capability
  – Implementation Plan
  – Quality Management Plan

• Past Performance
  – Review past performance on like projects

• Price (evaluated among acceptable proposals)
  – Evaluated by separate team to avoid potential bias
  – Single present value cost computed for each proposal
  – Successful offeror was lowest present value price
The Results

- Only 141 days from team stand-up to award
- Three proposals received
- Single round of clarifications
- All proposals placed in the competitive range

Awarded to SunPower (PowerLight) - 2.2¢/kWh
The Results
Fort Carson Solar PV Project Example

Mike Warwick
Pacific Northwest National Lab
(Thanks to Vince Guthrie of Fort Carson)
Project Summary

2 MW Ground Mounted Solar Array

PV Generates 3,200 MWh/year

Powers 2.3% of Installation’s Load

Structure covers over 12 acres

Panels use Thin Film PV Technology

State Amendment 37 limited the size of the project to 2 MW
Bottom Line Up Front

Fort Carson’s award winning Solar PV Project represents successful application of innovative business model

$13 million Solar PV Array is the largest on-base Project in the Army

1. Underutilized asset in the form of 12 -15 acres contributed under flat lease, surface only
2. Strong resource potential for solar project
3. Visionary State Governor established Renewable Portfolio Standard with Solar Carve Out
4. Federal Tax Credit of 30% available for solar project
5. State RPS created Renewable Energy Credit Market
6. Long-term REC purchase (2% of base power)
7. Private sector project development instrumental in success
8. Third party equity and asset ownership
9. Local utility to host and manage the PV array, power stays on base
10. Price stabilization achieved through long term, fixed rate (PPA)
11. Fort Carson pays the developer for the power
12. All front-end development costs rolled into the price of the power
Project Structure

• Five related, bi-lateral contracts with Carson
  – Land lease between Carson and developer
  – WAPA power supply (allocation) contract to Carson
  – Power sale to WAPA (from developer but with conditions attached by Carson)
  – Power purchase from project via WAPA “energy support” contract provision
  – Integration and power management contract with local utility

• Other contracts between developer, financiers, WAPA that determine project cost, REC value, tax benefits, finance terms, etc. These might as well be a “black box.” All Carson needs to know is price of power.
Development Model

3 Phases Develops Turn Key Project

1. Onsite Solar Plant at Ft. Carson
   - Turn-key development
   - Capital Costs + Asset Mgt + O&M
   - ITC 30% tax credit + Depreciation
2. Investor/Owner
   - $\$
3. 3 Phases Energy
   - 5 kWh $0.055/kWh
4. Colorado Springs Utility
   - Provides Power, Capacity, and T&D
   - 4 kWh
5. Ft. Carson
   - Buys the Power

3 Phases Energy

Xcel Energy
- Buys the Solar REC
- $0.20-0.30/kWh

GovEnergy
www.govenergy.gov
Project Development Team

9 Different Companies Participated in making the Project a Reality

Project Participants
- 3 Phases Energy Services – Project Developer
  - XCEL purchased RECs
  - CSU to host PV Array on their grid & provide O&M
  - WAPA executed PPA for Fort Carson
  - Fort Carson contributed unused land for project

First Solar – Thin Film Solar Panels
SunTechnics – Design & Install
MSGreenRock LLC – Project Finance
GreenRock Capital & Morgan Stanley
Procuring the Power

Through Western’s Power Marketing Authority, they are authorized to execute long-term power arrangements.

Fort Carson’s power purchase agreement between Western & vendor with base responsible for payments.

PPA uses the energy support provision of Fort Carson’s firm electric services (FES) contract with Western.

Monthly delivery schedule of power provided to base from PV in kwh and is reflected in exhibit to the contract.

Power price is fixed at installation’s current rate.
Lessons Learned

• This is another unique example, but could become more commonplace
  – PMA contract
  – State RPS
  – REC sale
• PMA contract essential (or retail wheeling arrangement with utility)
• Sale of RECs to reduce cost/price
• RPS w/solar set aside key to high REC price
• “Good price” – Price that is life cycle cost effective and covers any utility fees for stand-by, shaping, etc.
TAKE AWAYS

• Find win-win opportunities
• Do your homework
• Form dedicated core action team

Think Big!

GovEnergy
www.govenergy.gov
Don’t forget to fill out and drop off your session evaluations!