# Hydrogen Storage Materials Requirements to Meet the 2017 On Board Hydrogen Storage Technical Targets

#### **Donald Anton**

Savannah River National Laboratory

#### **Troy Semelsberger**

Los Alamos National Laboratory

#### **Kriston Brooks**

**Pacific Northwest National Laboratory** 

**Don Siegel** 

**University of Michigan** 

Bruce Hardy

Savannah River National Laboratory

Materials Requirements Webinar June 25, 2013



**Webinar Objective** 

Give guidance to the materials development community as to the important materials characteristic for both adsorbent and chemical hydrides required to meet the DoE Technical Targets for *Onboard Hydrogen Storage Systems* 

This work has been fully funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, through the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Fuel Cell Technologies Office







# **Technical Targets for Systems**

#### DoE Targets for On-Board Hydrogen Storage Systems for Light Duty Vehicles

Target	Units	2017 DOE Goal (System)	Ultimate DOE Goal (System)
Gravametric Capacity	kg H2/kg system	0.055	0.075
Volumetric Capacity	kg H2/L system	0.04	0.07
System Cost	\$/kWh net	TBD	TBD
Fuel Cost	\$/gge at pump	2-6	2-3
Min Operating Temp	°C	-40	-40
Max Operating Temp	°C	60	60
Min Delivery Temp	°C	-40	-40
Max Delivery Temp	°C	85	85
Cycle Life	Cycles	1500	1500
Min Delivery Pressure	bar	5	3
Max Delivery Pressure	bar	12	12
Onboard Efficiency	%	90	90
Well to Power Plant Efficiency	%	60	60
System Fill Time	min	3.3	2.5
Min Full Flow Rate	(g/s/kW)	0.02	0.02
Start Time to Full Flow (20°C)	sec	5	5
Start Time to Full Flow (-20°C)	sec	15	15
Transient Response	sec	0.75	0.75
Fuel Purity	%H2	99.97	99.97
		Meets or	Meets or
Permeation, Toxicity, Safety	Scc/h	Exceeds	Exceeds
		Standards	Standards
Loss of Useable Hydrogen	(g/h)/kg H2 store	0.05	0.05





# Agenda

# General Outline

- Define System
- Define Technical Barriers
- Identify Materials Properties That Will Meet Targets

# Chemical Systems

- Troy Semelsberger, System Architect Chemical Systems
- Kriston Brooks, Chemical System Designer

# Adsorbent Systems

- Don Siegel, Adsorbent System Architect
- Bruce Hardy, Transport Phenomenon Technology Lead



# Chemical Hydrogen Storage Material Requirements

#### Troy A. Semelsberger

Los Alamos National Laboratory troy@lanl.gov (505) 500-2687

#### **Kriston Brooks**

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory kriston.brooks@pnnl.gov (509) 372-4343





DOE Webinar: 25 June 2013

U.S. Department of Energy Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Bringing you a prosperous future where energy is clean, abundant, reliable, and affordable

# **Key Takeaways for Today**

Parameter	Units	Range*
Minimum Material capacity (liquids)	g <sub>H2</sub> / g <sub>material</sub>	~ 0.078 ( <i>0.085</i> ) <sup>†</sup>
Minimum Material capacity (solutions)	g <sub>H2</sub> / g <sub>material</sub>	~ 0.098 ( <i>0.106</i> ) <sup>†</sup>
Minimum Material capacity (slurries)	g <sub>H2</sub> / g <sub>material</sub>	~ 0.112 ( <i>0.121</i> ) <sup>†</sup>
Kinetics: Activation Energy	kcal / mol	28–36
Kinetics: Preexponential Factor		4 x 10 <sup>9</sup> – 1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>
Endothermic Heat of Reaction	kJ / mol H <sub>2</sub>	≤ +17 ( <i>15</i> ) <sup>†</sup>
Exothermic Heat of Reaction	kJ / mol $H_2$	≥ -27
Maximum Reactor Outlet Temperature	°C	250
Impurities Concentration	ppm	No <i>a priori</i> estimates can be quantified
Media H <sub>2</sub> Density	kg H <sub>2</sub> / L	≥ 0.07
Regeneration Efficiency	%	≥ 66.6%
Viscosity	cP	≤ 1500

\* (a) parameter values are based on a specific system design and component performance with fixed masses and volumes (b) values outside these ranges do not imply that a material is not capable of meeting the system performance targets (c) the material property ranges are subject to change as new or alternate technologies and/or new system designs are developed (d) the minimum material capacities are subject to change as the density of the composition changes due to reductions in the mass and volume of the storage tank or reductions in system mass are realized \* values outside of parentheses are the values that correlate to the idealized system design (i.e., 30.6 kg) and the values in parentheses are those that correlate to the base system design (36.3 kg)



# **Introduction and Overview**

Objective: Provide chemical hydrogen storage material property guidelines that will allow the overall system to meet the DOE 2017 performance targets

- Approach: 1. Develop an integrated chemical hydrogen storage system for automotive applications
  - 2. Develop a system model that predicts system performance using various drive cycles (*e.g., US06*)
  - 3. Identify and size components that are material dependent (e.g., reactor, heat exchanger, etc.,)
    - Determine material properties for given component size
  - 4. Determine material capacity to meet DOE 2017 performance targets



# Chemical Hydrogen Storage System



# HSECoE Chemical Hydrogen Storage Baseline System





# Itemized Component List of our Baseline System

ltem #	Description	Material	Wt (kg)	Vol (L)
	Tank	s and Tubing		
TNK-1	Volume Displacement Tank	High Density Polyethylene	6.2	65.5
NA	Fill and Drain Lines	10 ft of 1/2" Plastic	0.17	0.38
NA	Low T and P Lines	10 ft 3/8" Aluminum	0.12	0.2
NA	High T and P Lines	10 ft 3/8" Stainless Steel	0.38	0.22
INS-01	Rupture Disk		0.6	0.16
INS-02	Level Sensor for Volume Displacement Tank		0.6	0.16
INS-03	Rupture Disk		0.6	0.16
INS-04	Pressure sensor	316L SS	0.14	0.001
Feed Lo	oop			
V-1	2 Multiport Valves with Actuator	Assured Automation	1.7	0.75
V-1	Flapper Valves		0.5	0.2
P-1	Feed Pump	KNF NF2.35	0.3	0.3
INS-05	Temperature sensor		0.1	0.02
RX-1	Reactor	SS tubing and stirrer	5	4
H-1	Reactor Heater		0.5	
INS-06	Temperature sensor		0.1	0.02
INS-07	Level Sensor for P/S		0.18	0.14
Recycle	Loop			
P-2	Recycle Pump	KNF NF2.35	0.3	0.3

ltem #	Description	Material	Wt (ka)	Vol (L)
Return I	Loop			
PS-1	Gas Liquid Separator	347/347L SS	3.2	3.7
INS-08	Pressure sensor	316L SS	0.14	0.001
V-2	Pressure Relief Valve		0.3	0.1
RD-2	Liquid Radiator	304 SS	2.08	2.9
RD-2	Liquid Radiator Header	304 SS	0.16	0.06
M-5	Liquid Radiator Fan Ultra Thin Line 12V Electric Fan (Puller)	Nylon	1	5.9
INS-11	Temperature sensor		0.1	0.02
V-5	Control Valve	Brass	1.7	0.75
Hydroge	en Discharge			
FT-1	Coalescing Filter	SS	1.2	0.34
RD-2	Gas Radiator	304 SS	0.3	0.3
RD-2	Gas Radiator Header	304 SS	0.16	0.03
INS-09	Temperature sensor		0.1	0.02
INS-10	Pressure Switch		0.1	0.001
FT-2	H2 Clean-Up System		3.2	4
TNK-2	Additional Ballast Tank	Aluminum, L/D =4 , SF = 1.5	2.6	15
FT-4	Particulate Filter	SS	1.2	0.34
V-3	Pressure Regulator Gas		0.6	0.5
V-4	Pressure Relief Valve		0.6	0.16



# System Components for Projected System Design

#### Material Independent Components (BOP)

# Required system components that are material property independent

e.g., valves, sensors, tubing, filters, regulators, .....

#### **Material Dependent Components**

Required system components that are material property dependent

- Reactor
- Hydrogen purification
- Volume displacement Tank
- Ballast tank
- Heat exchangers

#### System Independent Material Properties

Required system components that are system independent

- Media hydrogen storage capacity
- Regeneration efficiency
- Fuel cost
- Shelf-life



	Baseline		Idea	alized
Component	Mass (kg)	Volume (L)	Mass (kg)	Volume (L)
BOP <sup>†</sup>	21.8	8.9	21.8	8.9
H <sub>2</sub> Purification*	3.2	4	0	0
Heat Exchangers*	3.7	9.2	3.7	9.2
Reactor*	5	4	2.5	2
Ballast Tank*	2.6	15	2.6	15
Media + Tank <sup>‡</sup>	≤ 65.7	≤ 98.9	≤ 71.4	≤ 104.9

† BOP mass and volume were held constant

\* Component masses or volumes were sized independent of the material to maintain a material independent system ‡ volume displacement tank mass was fixed at 6.2 kg

# **Baseline System Mass and Volume to Meet DOE 2017 Targets**



System Volume (excluding media) = 41.5 L Unused (available) Volume = 33 L



System Mass (excluding media) = 36.3 kg Unused (available) Mass = 0 kg

\* Values correspond to our baseline system design

# Idealized System Mass and Volume to Meet DOE 2017 Targets



Total System Volume = 107 L DOE Volume Target = 140 L System Volume (excluding media) = 35 L Unused (available) Volume = 33 L



Total System Mass = 102 kg DOE Mass Target = 102 kg System Mass (excluding media) = 30.6 kg Unused (available) Mass = 0 kg

Mass Pie Chart<sup>+</sup> (kg)

2.5 3.7

2.6

<sup>†</sup> Values correspond to our idealized system design (30.6 kg), no purification, reactor volume = 2 L, and reactor mass = 2.5 kg

21.8

# **Material Properties**



# **Material Capacity for Liquids**

#### **Objective:**

Determine net usable  $H_2$  capacity for chemical hydrogen materials to meet 2017 DOE system targets given our idealized system mass (excludes media) of 30.6 kg and our baseline system (excludes media) of 36.3 kg

#### Assumptions

- Fixed reactor mass = 2.5 kg (5 kg)
- Fixed purification mass =  $0 \ kg \ (3.2 \ kg)$
- System mass (excludes media) = 30.6 kg (36.3 kg)
- Media is a liquid with no phase change



#### **Property Range**

(Net usable wt. fraction 
$$H_2$$
)<sub>liquid</sub> =  $\gamma_m \approx 0.078 (0.085)^*$ 

\* value 0.085 represents the minimum capacity for our given baseline system mass (36.3 kg); the minimum capacity can be lowered if reductions in reactor mass, purification mass or system component masses are realized (e.g., if purification is eliminated and reactor mass halved then a liquid material capacity of 0.078 is expected)



 $g_{liquid}$ 



#### 

# **Material Capacity for Solutions**

#### **Objective:**

Determine required material capacities as a function of solute mass fraction loadings to meet 2017 DOE system targets given our idealized system mass (excludes media) of 30.6 kg and our baseline system (excludes media) of 36.3 kg

#### Assumptions

• System Mass (excludes media) = 30.6 kg (36.3 kg)

**Property Range** 

- No phase change
- Volume additivity

HSECoE

• Maximum solute mass fraction =  $0.8 \, g_{solute}$ 

• Solvent is non-hydrogen bearing

Plot of solute mass fractions and material capacities required for a base system mass of 36.3 kg and an idealized system mass of 30.6 kg



Note: a solution is a two component homogeneous mixture containing a solute and a solvent. Our solution assumes a hydrogen bearing solute dissolved in a non-hydrogen bearing solvent.

8 solute

# **Reaction Kinetics**

#### **Objective:**

Determine viable kinetics parameters to meet volume and shelf-life constraints given our baseline system design and assumptions

#### Constraints/Assumptions

- $t_{shelf \ life}\Big|_{X=7.2\%}^{T=60^{\circ} C} \ge 60 \ days$
- $V_{PFR}\Big|_{X = 99\%}^{T = 175^{\circ}C} \le 4 L$

• 
$$F_{H_2}^{max}\Big|_{40kW_e} = 0.4 \frac{mol H_2}{s} \left( 0.8 \frac{g H_2}{s} \right)$$

• Reaction is irreversible

#### Variables

Activation Energy 
$$(E_a) = 24 - 37 \frac{kcal}{mol}$$
  
Preexponential Factor  $(A) = 10^5 - 10^{17}$   
Reaction Order  $(n) = 0 - 1.5$ 



Arrhenius plots showing the desirable ranges of activation energies (kcal/mol K) and preexponential factors as a function of reaction order







# **Exothermic Heat of Reaction: System Materials**

#### **Objective:**

Determine the highest exothermic heat of reaction that will prevent the system materials from being exposed to temperatures greater than 250°C

#### Constraints/Assumptions

- System is bounded by the design to accommodate ammonia borane
- Material inlet temperature = 24°C
- Maximum system temperature = 250°C
- Up to 50% recycle ratio



#### **Property Range**

 $\Delta H_{rxn} \geq -27 \frac{kJ}{mol \ H_2}$ 

$$C_{p,m} = 1500 - 2500 \frac{J}{kg} K$$
(net usable wt. fraction  $H_2$ )<sub>material</sub> =  $\gamma_m \approx 0.085 - 0.092$ 



Variables

20

# **Endothermic Heat of Reaction: On-board Efficiency**

#### **Objective:**

Determine maximum heat of reaction to meet 90% on-board efficiency given our system designs and assumptions

#### Assumptions

- No heat recovery
- Fixed reactor mass = 2.5 (5.0) kg SS
- Cold Start  $Up \equiv \Delta T = (T_{reactor} T_{amb}) = 150 \ ^{\circ}C$
- 4 Cold Start Ups per day
- Average miles driven per day = 41
- *neat liquid with*  $\overline{C}_p = 1.6 \frac{J}{g K}$

# Property Range $\Delta H_{rxn} \leq +17 (15) \frac{kJ}{mol H_2}$ for $\eta_{onboard} \Big|_{\Delta T=150^{\circ}C}^{SU=4} = 90\%$ ) HSECOE



# Media Hydrogen Density: Volume Displacement Tank

#### **Objective:**

Determine lower limit on the media hydrogen density subject to a maximum tank mass of 6.2 kg

#### Constraints/Assumptions

- $H_2$  Conversion = 99%
- On-Board Efficiency = 95%
- Rectangular, Conical bottom HD Polyethylene Tank, 15" tall
- Tank Mass ≤ 6.2 kg

#### Variables

media  $H_2$  capacity = 8.0 - 18.5 wt.% media density = 0.7 - 1.5  $\binom{g}{mL}_{media}$ 





#### Property Range

$$(H_2 \text{ density})_{\text{media}} > 0.07 \frac{\text{kg } H_2}{L}$$
  
for a tank mass  $\leq 6.2 \text{ kg}$ 

# **Fuel Cell Impurities**

#### **Objective:**

Determine the maximum impurity concentration given on our baseline system design and assumptions

#### Constraints/Assumptions

- Purification Mass  $\leq 3.2 \text{ kg}$
- Adsorbent based technology
- $H_2$  Purity = 99.97%
- *Replacement Frequency = 1800 miles*

The maximum impurity concentration allowed for a fixed purification mass of 3.2 kg will be a function of:

- Impurity type (e.g., fuel cell or inert diluent)
- Chemical and physical properties of the impurity
- Hydrogen purification technology
- Recycle/Regeneration cost and efficiency
- Material cost and availability



SAE J2719 April 2008 Hydrogen Quality Guideline for FCV					
Impurity	ppm				
Helium	300				
Inert gases (N <sub>2</sub> , Ar)	100				
Carbon dioxide	2				
Carbon monoxide	0.2				
Sulfur compounds	0.004				
Formaldehyde	0.01				
Formic acid	0.2				
Ammonia	0.1				
Total halogenates	0.05				
Hydrogen Purity	≥ 99.97%				

Maximum fuel cell impurity (ppm) as a function of scrubbing capacity (g <sub>impurity</sub> / g <sub>ads</sub>) and impurity molecular weight (g/mol) for a fixed purification componenet mass of 3.2 kg



# Property Range

The maximum allowed impurity concentration cannot be calculated *a priori*. Therefore, the impact of impurities generated from hydrogen storage materials should be examined on a case-by-case basis

# **Summary: Material Property Guidelines**

Parameter	Symbol	Units	Range*	Influence	Assumptions
Minimum Material capacity (liquids)	γ̃mat	$g_{H2} / g_{material}$	~ 0.078 ( <i>0.085</i> ) <sup>†</sup>	System	<ul> <li>System mass (excludes media) = 30.6 kg (36.3 kg)</li> <li>5.6 kg of H<sub>2</sub> stored</li> <li>Liquid media (neat)</li> <li>Media density = 1.0 g/mL</li> </ul>
Minimum Material capacity (solutions)	γmat	$g_{H2}/g_{material}$	~ 0.098 (0.106)†	System	<ul> <li>System mass (excludes media) = 30.6 kg (36.3 kg)</li> <li>Solute mass fraction = 0.35 ~ 0.80</li> <li>Solution density = 1.0 g/mL</li> </ul>
Minimum Material capacity (slurries)	γmat	$g_{H2} / g_{material}$	~ 0.112 ( <i>0.121</i> )†	System	<ul> <li>System mass (excludes media) = 30.6 kg (36.3 kg)</li> <li>Non-settling homogeneous slurry</li> <li>Slurry mass fraction = 0.35 ~ 0.70</li> <li>Slurry volume fraction = 0 ~ 0.5</li> <li>Slurry density = 1.0 g/mL</li> </ul>
Kinetics: Activation Energy	Ea	kcal / mol	28–36	Reactor and	• V <sub>reactor</sub> ≤ 4 L • Shelf life > 60 days
Kinetics: Preexponential Factor	А		4 x 10 <sup>9</sup> – 1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	Shelf life	• Reaction order, n = 0 – 1
Endothermic Heat of Reaction	ΔH <sub>rxn</sub>	kJ / mol H <sub>2</sub>	≤ +17 ( <i>1</i> 5) <sup>†</sup>	On-board efficiency	<ul> <li>On-board Efficiency = 90%</li> <li># Cold Startups = 4</li> <li>△T = 150 °C with no heat recovery</li> <li>neat liquid (Cp = 1.6 J/g K)</li> <li>Reactor mass = 2.5 kg SS (5.0 kg SS)</li> </ul>
Exothermic Heat of Reaction	$\Delta H_{rxn}$	kJ / mol $H_2$	≤ -27		<ul> <li>T<sub>max</sub> = 250°C</li> <li>Recycle ratio @ 50%</li> </ul>
Maximum Reactor Outlet Temperature	Toutlet	°C	250	Heat Exchanger	<ul> <li>Liquid Radiator = 2.08 kg</li> <li>Gas Radiator = 0.3 kg</li> <li>Ballast Tank = 2.6 kg</li> </ul>
Impurities Concentration	Уі	ppm	No <i>a priori</i> estimates can be quantified	Purification	• m <sub>adsorbent</sub> ≤ 3.2 kg
Media H <sub>2</sub> Density	(γ <sub>mat</sub> ) (φm)(ρ <sub>mat</sub> )	kg H $_2$ / L	≥ 0.07	Tank size System	<ul> <li>HD polyethylene tank ≤ 6.2 kg</li> </ul>
Regen Efficiency	ηregen	%	≥ 66.6%	Well-to-Power Plant Efficiency	<ul> <li>On-board Efficiency = 90%</li> <li>WTPP efficiency = 60%</li> </ul>
Viscosity	η	cP	≤ 1500	Fill time Pump size On-board efficiency	None

\* (a) parameter values are based on a specific system design and component performance with fixed masses and volumes (b) values outside these ranges do not imply that a material is not capable of meeting the system performance targets (c) the material property ranges are subject to change as new or alternate technologies and/or new system designs are developed (d) the minimum material capacities are subject to change as the density of the composition changes due to reductions in the mass and volume of the storage tank or reductions in system mass are realized



t values outside of parentheses are the values that correlate to the idealized system design (i.e., 30.6 kg) and the values in parentheses are those that correlate to the baseline system design (36.3 kg)

# **Next Steps**

- Researchers develop new materials
- Evaluate relative to targets conditions described herein
- As materials show promise, they can be evaluated using the *Chemical Hydrogen Storage System Models* developed by the HSECoE
  - System models offer higher fidelity and provide additional guidance relative to the specific properties of the newly developed materials



# Disclaimer

- The material properties detailed in this presentation were prepared in order to provide general guidance for chemical hydrogen storage researchers and therefore should not be taken as rigid constraints.
- The presented material properties were developed within the constraints of our system design, component sizing, assumptions, and system operating conditions. In addition, the ranges in material properties are not specific to a particular material, and therefore can be applied to the general class of chemical hydrogen storage media.
- Material property values just outside the material ranges presented do not imply that a material is not capable of meeting the system performance targets, but rather that the material will require further examination.
- The material property ranges are subject to change as new technologies and/or new system designs are developed.
- The minimum material capacities are subject to change if the density of the composition changes because of reductions in the mass and volume of the storage tank.
- Material properties that fall within the presented material properties do not establish commercial viability or commercial success.



**Acknowledgements** 

# **Fuel Cell Technologies Office**

Ned Stetson and Jessie Adams



#### U.S. Department of Energy Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Bringing you a prosperous future where energy is clean, abundant, reliable, and affordable



# Adsorption-Based Hydrogen Storage System: An Overview

**Don Siegel** 

System Architect, Adsorbent System Mechanical Engineering Department, University of Michigan

> DOE Hydrogen Storage Webinar June 25, 2013



Los Alamos

UQTA



Pacific Northwest

NATIONAL LABORATORY

D

**National Renewable** 

**Energy Laboratory** 

# **Goals for the Adsorbent System**

- Model, design, construct, and evaluate an adsorbent-based hydrogen storage system that has the potential to meet DOE 2017 targets.
- Reveal design tradeoffs, e.g.:
  - $\rightarrow$  Gravimetric vs. volumetric density
  - → Capacity & cost vs. fill time
- Guide materials development
  - $\rightarrow$  Identify materials properties that most strongly impact system performance.

# Many Design Choices

The Center has aimed to identify optimal combinations of adsorbent morphology, tank materials, and tank internals/heat exchanger design



# **Materials Selection**

#### The Center has selected MOF-5 as its baseline adsorbent



[1] Theoretical Limits of Hydrogen Storage in Metal-Organic Frameworks: Opportunities and Trade-Offs, Goldsmith, Wong-Foy, Cafarella, and Siegel, Submitted. [2] Recommended Best Practices for the Characterization of Storage Properties of Hydrogen Storage Materials, K. J. Gross, et al., V2-81

# **Example System: Modular Adsorption Tank Insert (MATI)**

The MATI concept allows for isolated heating/cooling and densified media



# **MATI Internal Heat Exchanger**







0.38 g/cc densified MOF-5 puck formed around Al pins. Puck dimensions: 1.3 cm tall, 5 cm diameter, 9.5 g



MATI v1 – Combined LN2 cooling and H2 distribution





# Hex-Cell/Flow-through System Concept

The Hex-Cell system design uses powder MOF-5 with flow-through cooling & resistive heating



### MATI System Performance Projection vs DOE 2017 Targets

# Although efficient designs have been identified, system performance remains limited by materials properties



- Compacted MOF-5, no thermal enhancement, 80 K initial fill
- Type 1 Al pressure vessel, 100 bar
- Double-wall 60-layer MLVI jacket design, 5W heat leak @ 80 K
- Adsorption: LN2 chilled plates
- Desorption: BoP heated H2/140K

# **Improvements needed to reach DOE 2017 targets**





Step	Description
A	Phase 1 Baseline – Activated Carbon; Type 3 tank; Full at 80K, 200 bar; FT Cooling + Generic Resistance Heater
В	Set Operating Conditions to 80 K, 100 bar and Type 1 AI Tank
С	Identify Internal Heat Exchanger Design: MATI
D	Change Material from Activated Carbon to 0.32 g/cc Compacted MOF-5
Е	Improve BOP Components (reduce mass and volume by 25%)
F	Maintain Capacity with increased Operating Temperature (reduce MLVI by 50%; remove $LN_2$ )
G	Increase Material Capacity to 120% of Powdered MOF-5
Н	Increase Material Capacity to 140% of Powdered MOF-5
I	Increase Material Capacity to 160% of Powdered MOF-5
J	Increase Material Capacity to 180% of Powdered MOF-5
К	Increase Material Capacity to 200% of Powdered MOF-5
1	Increase Material Canacity to 220% of Powdered MOE-5



# **Future Work-Phase 3: Adsorbent System Build/Test**

#### Heat Exchange Systems



HexCell/MOF-5 Powder Flow-Through Cooling Resistance Heating

#### Containment



2 Liter Type 1 Segmented AI Tank





0.3g/cc MOF-5 Puck MATI Heating/Cooling



Type 1 SS Pressure Vessel



# **Adsorbent Acceptability Envelope**

Bruce J. Hardy Claudio Corgnale David Tamburello Savannah River National Laboratory

> DOE Webinar June 25, 2013



# Introduction and Overview

- Adsorbent Acceptability Envelope (AAE) •
  - Overall objective:
    - Identify coupled adsorbent and storage vessel properties that make it possible to meet performance targets
  - Accomplished in two stages:
    - Stage 1 Identify isotherms that yield necessary amount of
    - usable (not just total) hydrogen

      - Determined through isotherm parameters
        - » So far, have considered UNILAN and Dubinin-Astakhov-Radushkevich isotherms
      - AAE can determine parameters that optimize available hydrogen
      - Isotherms determine excess differential enthalpy of adsorption
      - Stage 2 Determine coupled adsorbent/storage system parameters required to meet targets
        - Requires all items in first stage plus design concepts for charging and discharging



# **Stage 1 - Optimal Isotherm Parameters**

# Optimization of Available Hydrogen

- Specify initial and final states via temperature and pressure
- Determine optimal isotherm parameters with respect to usable amount of stored hydrogen
  - For UNILAN, optimize:
    - n<sub>max</sub>, E<sub>max</sub>, E<sub>min</sub>
  - Can also optimize with respect to constrained pore volume and entropy change
  - Can include constrained pressure & temperature in optimization parameters
- Isosteric heat for optimized parameters is calculated

Material developers will need to fit data to isotherms or attempt to create adsorbents with target isotherm parameters



# **Stage 1 - Example Values for Optimal Parameters**



C	harged State	e: T <sub>chg</sub> =80K P <sub>chg</sub> =60 bar
Di	ischarged St	ate: T <sub>disch</sub> =160K P <sub>disch</sub> =5 bar

Constraints:  $0 < n_{max} \le 120$ ,  $E_{min} > 0$ ,  $E_{max} \ge E_{min} + 1$ 

UNILAN isotherm has singularity in isosteric heat if  $E_{max} = E_{min}$ 

	n <sub>max</sub> (mol/kg)	E <sub>max</sub> (J/mol)	E <sub>min</sub> (J/mol)	∆S <sub>0</sub> (J/mol-K)	Usable Hydrogen (kg_H2/kg_ads)
MOF-5	60.77	4497.9	1997.1	-64.16	0.086
Optimized	120	4655.5	4654.5	-64.16	0.217
-					

Optimized when  $E_{max}=E_{min} \Rightarrow$  No heterogeneity for adsorption sites

Consistent with Bhatia and Myers, "Optimum Conditions for Adsorptive Storage," Langmuir 2006 (2)



# Stage 1 – Isosteric Heat at Optimized UNILAN Parameters

Optimized UNILAN Parameters					
n <sub>max</sub>	120 mol/kg				
E <sub>max</sub>	4655 J/mol				
Emin	4654 J/mol				
∆S <sub>0</sub>	-64.16 J/mol				

Common definition of isosteric heat

Isosteric Heat 
$$\equiv \Delta h = RT^2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\Big|_{n_a}$$

At optimized UNILAN parameters the isosteric heat is nearly constant



### **Stage 1 - Relation Between Optimum Parameters for Example Values**

At optimum, $E_{max}$ and $E_{min}$ are independent of $n_{max}$						
	n <sub>max</sub> (mol/kg)	E <sub>max</sub> (J/mol)	E <sub>min</sub> (J/mol)			
	30	4655.5	4654.5			
	50	4655.5	4654.5			
	70	4655.5	4654.5			
	100	4655.5	4654.5			
	120	4655.5	4654.5			
	150	4655.5	4654.5			
	200	4655.5	4654.5			

At optimum, usable  $H_2$  is linear with respect to  $n_{max}$ , as would be expected from the UNILAN model



# **Stage 1 - Isotherm Parameter Range**

- Identify (non-optimal) parameter ranges that meet performance targets for hydrogen storage
  - Based on UNILAN isotherm
  - Employed usable H<sub>2</sub> corresponding to charged and discharged states
- Targets used as examples in this presentation are the DOE Ultimate Technical Targets for Light Duty Vehicles
  - Gravimetric capacity 0.075 kg\_H<sub>2</sub>/kg\_system
  - Volumetric capacity 0.070 kg\_H<sub>2</sub>/L\_system



# Stage 1 - Relation Between n<sub>max</sub>, E<sub>max</sub> & E<sub>min</sub>





# Stage 2 – Coupled Adsorbent and Storage System

- Meeting the technical targets requires more than a definition of gas storage properties (isotherm)
  - Adsorbent must interface with the storage system
    - Includes heat and mass transfer
- Stage 1 only addressed part of the adsorbent storage system requirements
  - Did not consider any kind of transport
- Upshot is that gas uptake alone does not completely determine if the adsorbent and storage system can meet technical targets



# Stage 2 – Storage System Operation

### • During charging:

- Heat due to pressure work and enthalpy of adsorption must be removed to maintain target temperature
  - Need sufficiently high thermal diffusivity
    - or sufficiently high thermal conductivity for steady state
  - Can modify adsorbent or add amendments to increase thermal conductivity
  - Can closely space heat transfer surfaces
  - Adsorbent permeability must accommodate flow-through cooling, if used
  - Entire mass of adsorbent may not reach target temperature
    - Can compensate by increasing total mass of adsorbent
- Adsorbent must be sufficiently permeable that gas transport to adsorption sites is not impeded
- However, adsorbent and system modifications affect gravimetric and volumetric capacity



# Stage 2 – Adsorbent Storage System Coupling

- The interaction between the adsorbent and storage system is determined through numerical models
  - Transient calculations
  - Models include:
    - Isotherm parameters
    - Adsorbent thermal conductivity, specific heat, density and porosity
    - Hydrogen flowrate, inlet pressure and characteristic spacing for heat transfer surfaces
  - Differential excess internal energy is calculated from the isotherm
    - Isotherm is used to calculate the enthalpy of adsorption

# System design

- Flow-through cooling
- Cooling & heating using:
  - Parallel heat transfer surfaces (MATI)
  - Cylindrical surfaces (Hex-cell configuration)



# Summary

- Assessment of adsorbent viability is conducted in 2 stages
- In the first stage, the amount of usable hydrogen stored by the adsorbent is evaluated
  - Determines whether an existing adsorbent can possibly meet the technical targets
  - Determines parameter ranges that an adsorbent must have to meet technical targets
  - Determines optimal adsorbent parameters
- If the adsorbent meets criteria for Stage 1, then the second stage analysis is applied
  - Determines whether system meeting technical targets can be designed for an existing adsorbent
  - Determines coupled adsorbent and system parameter ranges required to meet the technical targets



# **Thanks for Listening!**

# **Questions?**

